# PCCP





Cite this: Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2015, **17**, 31780

Received 17th July 2015, Accepted 18th October 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5cp04197j

www.rsc.org/pccp

## 1. Introduction

Surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) spectroscopy is a label-free high-sensitive method for applications in analytical chemistry,<sup>1,2</sup> biomedicine,<sup>3–6</sup> sensor technology,<sup>7,8</sup> forensic science<sup>9,10</sup> and environmental monitoring.<sup>11</sup> An enormous enhancement of Raman signal occurs for molecules adsorbed on noble-metal surfaces with nanoscale roughness, so-called SERS-active substrates.<sup>12,13</sup> It is generally accepted that the SERS amplification is mainly attributed to two mechanisms: electromagnetic mechanism resulting from the strong enhancement of the local electromagnetic field near metallic nanostructures,<sup>14,15</sup> and chemical mechanism *via* electronic resonance/charge transfer between the adsorbed molecule

<sup>b</sup> Department of Information Technologies and Robotics, Belarusian National Technical University, Nezalezhnasti Ave. 65, 220013 Minsk, Belarus

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Physics, Charles University in Prague, Ke Karlovu 5,

CZ-121 16 Prague 2, Czech Republic



S. N. Terekhov,\*<sup>a</sup> S. M. Kachan,<sup>b</sup> A. Yu. Panarin<sup>a</sup> and P. Mojzes<sup>c</sup>

Nanostructured silver films with different thicknesses were prepared by vapor deposition onto the surface of the anodic aluminum oxide (AAO) template to be used as surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) active substrates. Both the peak position of the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) band and SERS enhancement of silvered AAO samples displayed non-monotonous dependence on Ag layer thickness. Using 441.6 nm excitation and a water-soluble cationic porphyrin, Cu(II)-tetrakis(4-N-methylpyridyl) (CuTMPyP4), as a SERS-reporting analyte, two maxima of the SERS enhancement were obtained for Ag layers of 15 and 120 nm thickness. Thickness dependencies have been analyzed taking into account the type of SPR modes identified by means of guasicrystalline approximation (QCA) of statistical theory of multiple scattering of waves and multi-Lorentzian deconvolution. The analysis revealed that SERS enhancement is related to the absolute magnitude of the distance between excitation wavelength and spectral position of collective SPR mode. It was shown that matching of excitation wavelength and the most intensive SPR modes with non-radiative decay, generated mainly by coherent interaction of higherorder plasmon resonant modes (quadrupole and octupole), plays a dominate role in SERS performance. Besides, it has been observed that more intense SERS signal can be obtained when the analyte deposited on the Aq/AAO substrate was excited through the AAO template rather than from the silvered side. Our results demonstrate that appropriate excitation geometry and fine-tuning of the optical properties of the Aq/AAO substrate by adjusting the thickness of the Aq layer with respect to particular excitation wavelength can contribute to more effective SERS enhancement.

and the metal surface.<sup>16,17</sup> The maximum contribution of the chemical enhancement mechanism to the SERS was evaluated to be  $10^{2}$ ,<sup>18</sup> while enhancement *via* electromagnetic effect was evaluated to be  $10^{8}$ .<sup>19</sup> The dominant role of electromagnetic mechanisms in the Raman signal enhancement is due to the excitation of localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) which produces a huge local electromagnetic field, so-called "hot spots", close to metallic nanoparticles.

Optimization of Raman amplification is of great interest for applying the SERS technique for analytical purposes and optical biosensing.<sup>20,21</sup> In this regard it is important to find the relationship between the LSPR position, the wavelength used for Raman excitation ( $\lambda_{ex}$ ) and the SERS enhancement. There are two approaches to determine the influence of LSPR on SERS performance: the first one is to tune LSPR position at a fixed excitation wavelength, and the second one is to vary  $\lambda_{ex}$  for fixed LSPR features. It has been shown by several studies for metallic nanoparticles (NPs) of different shape that for a given excitation  $\lambda_{ex}$  the largest SERS intensity is reached when the LSPR position is located between the excitation  $\lambda_{ex}$  and the wavelength of Raman scattering ( $\lambda_R$ ).<sup>22,23</sup> However, it was also demonstrated



**View Article Online** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics NASB, Nezalezhnasti Ave., 68, 220072, Minsk, Belarus. E-mail: terekhov@imaph.bas-net.by

#### Paper

that this rule does not always apply. The relation between SERS intensity and LSPR seems to depend also on some other factors, such as the shape of nanoparticles<sup>24–26</sup> and excitation wavelength.<sup>22,25,27</sup> For example, in the case of NPs with an elongated shape, like ellipsoids or nanowires, it was shown that the SERS maximum is reached when the LSPR position is close to Raman wavelength  $\lambda_{\rm R}$ .<sup>24</sup>

Van Duyne's group was the first to study the influence of excitation wavelength on SERS enhancement for triangular silver nanoparticles.<sup>22</sup> They have shown that regardless of  $\lambda_{ex}$ , the SERS intensity reaches its maximum when the LSPR is located within a spectral window of about 120 nm, a spectral width covering the excitation  $\lambda_{ex}$  and Raman  $\lambda_{R}$  wavelength. That is, the SERS behaviour is governed by the rule  $\lambda_{ex} < \lambda_{LSPR} < \lambda_{R}$ . A similar result was obtained for elongated NPs.<sup>25</sup> Several rules required to obtain the best SERS intensity depending on the nanoparticle shape at the fixed excitation wavelength are summarized in ref. 26

Recently a fruitful approach to study the correlation between plasmon resonance and SERS intensity by tuning the plasmon resonance of deformable plasmonic nanostructures has been reported.<sup>28-30</sup> Hossain et al.<sup>28</sup> have demonstrated for gold nanoparticles deposited on an elastomeric membrane that mechanical plasmonic tuning could enable real-time control to optimize SERS sensitivity. Furthermore, it has been shown using a fixed excitation line that the SERS signal of analytes deposited on a stretchable nanoplasmonic structure consisting of silver particles sputtered on polydimethylsiloxane was increased when the excitation matched the plasmon resonance.<sup>29</sup> By using a deformable plasmonic membrane, a quantitative correlation between the plasmon resonance and the SERS signals was established: a particular SERS peak will reach its maximum at the maximum of products of the extinction values at an excitation wavelength and the corresponding Raman scattering wavelength.30

The second approach to unveil LSPR–SERS-efficiency relation, *i.e.* variation of  $\lambda_{ex}$  at a fixed LSPR, was used in the study of triangular silver NPs excited by different wavelengths  $\lambda_{ex}$  from the entire visible region.<sup>31</sup> It has been shown that the maximum SERS intensity is observed when the LSPR is located between the excitation and the Raman wavelength. Similar results have been obtained for arrays of gold nanoellipses where the SERS enhancement was measured for three different laser lines.<sup>23</sup> All the above mentioned studies were performed for arrays of weakly coupled metal NPs, and showed a possibility to enhance SERS through optimization of nanoparticle size and shape.

However, significantly larger SERS enhancement can be obtained for arrays of closely packed metal nanoparticles, where strong near-field electromagnetic coupling between neighbouring particles leads to transformation of LSPR into a collective surface plasmon resonance (SPR) band. In this case the enhancement of Raman signal is strongly affected by the gap between particles. It was shown that upon gradually reducing the distance between coupled silver NPs of different shape and size (from 500 nm down to 70 nm), the SERS intensity increased up to two orders of magnitude in comparison with the noncoupled case.<sup>32</sup> This observation was also confirmed by Liu *et al.*<sup>33</sup>

Very few studies dealing with the influence of SPR features on the SERS performance of coupled nanoarrays have been reported. Recently, investigation of SPR-dependent SERS enhancement was undertaken for silver nanoplates with three excitation wavelengths.<sup>34</sup> It has been found that Raman signals were greatly enhanced when the laser line matched the peak position of the SPR band. Wang *et al.*<sup>35</sup> suggested that the criterion for maximum SERS enhancement in the case of silver nanocube-based twodimensional substrates is the closeness between SPR and laser excitation wavelength  $\lambda_{ex}$ . Thus, the question on the relation between SPR position and SERS intensity for coupled nanoarrays still remains unresolved.

In the present paper, we report the study of 2D silver nanoarrays deposited at the surface of anodic aluminum oxide (AAO) as proper substrates for SERS with a special attention on the effect of SPR position on Raman enhancement. AAO characterized by a closely packed regular array of columnar pores, high reproducibility of preparation and modest cost of fabrication has become a very popular material for producing various SERS-active structures. Noble-metal nanowire arrays exhibiting strong SERS activity,<sup>36,37</sup> plasmon-resonant Au nanospheres and nanorods with different aspect ratios,<sup>38</sup> and Ag NPs deposited inside the pores<sup>39</sup> could serve as examples of AAO-based SERS substrates. Fabrication of three-dimensional (3D) nanostructures decorated with Au and Ag nanoparticles on the surface of the AAO template, which provide high level of Raman enhancement, has also been demonstrated.40,41 Recently, the AAO-based metal nanoarrays as SERS-active substrates have been further explored,<sup>42-45</sup> and the possibility of engineering highly effective nanostructures for SERS by evaporating silver in vacuum onto the surface of the AAO substrate has been demonstrated.46,47

In this work, a series of silver-coated AAO templates (Ag/AAO) were prepared to study the influence of Ag mass thickness on the position of surface plasmon resonance, as well as SERS performance. We have tuned the SPR features to yield the strongest SERS enhancement at a fixed excitation  $\lambda_{ex}$  by a gradual variation of the Ag film thickness. This approach allows one to overcome limitations arising in the case of measurement spectra from a single substrate with many laser excitation wavelengths,<sup>18,31</sup> such as the need to take into account various resonance conditions for the analyte and to have a wide set of excitation sources.<sup>22</sup>

To assess the SERS-activity of AAO-based substrates, a watersoluble cationic porphyrin, Cu(n)-tetrakis(4-*N*-methylpyridyl) (CuTMPyP4), was used as a probe molecule because of its well-assigned SERS spectrum and exceptional photostability. The analysis of experimental data was performed with the help of simulations based on the statistical theory of multiple scattering of waves. This allowed one to identify contributions of different SPR modes, and to emphasize the role of higherorder plasmon resonance modes (quadrupole and octupole) with non-radiative decay in governing the SERS activity of strongly coupled nanostructures like Ag/AAO.

### 2. Experimental section

#### 2.1 Chemicals and preparation of AAO films

Cationic Cu(II)-tetrakis(4-*N*-methylpyridyl) porphyrin was synthesized according to standard procedures<sup>48</sup> by Dr V.L. Malinovskii.

The AAO films were prepared by a two-step electrochemical anodization49 with slight modifications. Aluminum foil with a purity of 99.99% was used as a starting material. Before the anodization process, the foil was cleaned, degreased and annealed. Anodization was carried out in a sulfuric acid  $(H_2SO_4)$ 20%) solution at room temperature at a constant current density of 3 A  $dm^{-2}$ . By this procedure, AAO films with a pore diameter of  $25\pm5$  nm and an average interpore spacing of  $120\pm20$  nm were obtained. The arrays of silver nanoparticles were formed by thermal evaporation of silver onto the surface of the 60 µm thick AAO template at room temperature. The quoted thickness h of the silver film was estimated from the mass of the metal deposited on the area. It was varied by varying the deposition time. Samples with Ag thicknesses of 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 120, and 150 nm were prepared. The morphology of the samples was explored by using a Hitachi-S4800 electron microscope with a resolution of 1 nm.

#### 2.2 UV-vis and SERS measurements

UV-vis extinction spectra were recorded on a Cary 500 Scan (Varian) spectrophotometer.

Samples for SERS measurements were prepared in two ways. In the first case, Ag/AAO substrates were incubated for 1.5 hours in 3 ml of  $10^{-6}$  M CuTMpyP4 aqueous solution. Samples of the second kind were prepared by depositing 10 µl drops of  $10^{-6}$  M porphyrin solution onto the surface of Ag/AAO and allowing to air-dry.

SERS spectra were taken using a modular Raman spectrometer equipped with a Spex 270M (Jobin Yvon) spectrograph and a liquid-nitrogen cooled CCD detector (Princeton Instruments). Spectra were excited by a 441.6 nm He–Cd laser with *s*-polarized incident light at typically 2–5 mW power focused on to the sample (laser-spot diameter was ~100  $\mu$ m). A 90° laser beam-to-collecting optics arrangement and a 57° normal of the sample surface-to-laser beam orientation were used.

#### 2.3 Simulations

To identify the resonant plasmonic modes excited in a closepacked Ag NP layer at the surface of AAO, numerical simulations based on the quasicrystalline approximation (QCA) of the statistical theory of multiple scattering of electromagnetic waves were used.<sup>50</sup> This approach takes into account the coherent multiplescattering effects in a partially ordered 2D array, *i.e.* lateral electrodynamic interactions between the particles within an array, as well as the interference between the incident wave and the waves scattered by an array in the forward direction. The lateral electrodynamic interactions between individual particles of an array are considered as interference of multiply scattered waves in a planar close-packed arrangement of scatterers. The optical functions of a single spherical scatterer are specified by the Mie theory. The spatial arrangement of particles within a close-packed 2D array is determined by the radial distribution function calculated in the assumption of hard spheres.<sup>51</sup>

The QCA approach is most effective when the nanoparticle array is close to a crystalline structure. So, we can reasonably apply the QCA to close-packed arrays as they are characterized by short-range ordering: locations of neighbouring particles are partially correlated due to their finite sizes and high concentration. In our simulations we have also taken into account size-dependence of the dielectric function for metallic nanoparticles in the frame of the model of limitation of electron mean free path.<sup>52</sup> The details of the realized calculation scheme can be found in ref. 53.

In the present paper the extinction spectra of the closepacked 2D arrays of Ag nanospheres have been calculated. The volume fraction of metal f was kept constant while the size of nanoparticles was varied for different array samples. Optical constants for bulk silver were taken from the handbook.<sup>54</sup> Dielectric medium with a refractive index of 1.5 (average of refractive indices of AAO and air) was considered as the surrounding medium of NPs. It should be noted that the simulations were carried out disregarding the structural features of the AAO substrate. However, they substantially represent the main trends in the spectral appearance of the collective SPR caused by a change in size and/or concentration of NPs in the close-packed array.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 SEM characterization of Ag/AAO samples

Top view and cross-sectional scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the Ag/AAO sample with h = 60 nm silver mass-thickness are depicted in Fig. 1. As seen, Ag grain arrays were spontaneously formed at the surface of the AAO substrate by thermal deposition. Evidently, the regular network of the arrays that create an artificial roughness reflects the regularity of the holes in the AAO template. The dense layer of silver grains with a size of 40–50 nm decorates mainly the necks between the holes, forming a film of about 70 nm thickness, the value corresponding well to that estimated from the mass of Ag deposit. Close contacts between NPs should promote formation of "hot spots" in which strong Raman enhancement for analyte molecules occurs. The almost detached grains with a size of 20–40 nm are randomly distributed over the cap walls as well as on the silver layer at the necks. This is consistent with



**Fig. 1** SEM images of the Ag/AAO sample with a thickness of the silver film *ca*. 60 nm: (a) the top view and (b) the cross-sectional view.

grain growth through coalescence and accretion of deposited silver atoms.<sup>55</sup>

We suggest that the morphology of silver deposits for other samples of various thicknesses is similar. With increasing Ag thickness (*e.g.* 120 nm) nanoparticles grow and hang slightly over the hole's edges (data not shown). For Ag/AAO samples with thinner silver film thickness (30 and 15 nm) the quality of SEM images was not satisfactory enough.

#### 3.2 UV-vis spectra

Fig. 2 shows the effect of silver film mass-thickness h on the experimentally measured extinction spectra of Ag/AAO substrates. Extinction spectra were obtained at normal incidence as well as at 57°. The angle of 57° was used because SERS spectra were excited at the same angle (see below). As it is seen from the transmission of a bare AAO template without Ag NPs (Fig. 2, dotted curve in the 15 nm panel), the AAO prepared in the sulfuric acid-based electrolyte is almost transparent throughout the visible region. It shows only a gradual increase in absorption below 400 nm that should not affect significantly the positions of the plasmon resonance maxima. Therefore, it was not necessary to subtract contribution of the bare AAO film from the extinction spectra of Ag/AAO samples.

The maxima located at around 400 nm (Fig. 2) can be thus attributed to the SPR of silver nanoarrays formed at the surface of the AAO template. The increase of Ag thickness leads to topological transformation of the granular layer, *e.g.* the change in the mean size of NPs and their volume concentration in the layer (volume fraction of metal f) that results in quantitative as well as qualitative changes of spectral manifestations of the collective SPR of the close-packed NPs array. The general tendency is that the overall extinction increases with the increase of Ag thickness. Furthermore, the SPR maximum varies around 400 nm, and the broad band at wavelength >550 nm becomes gradually stronger with a concomitant shift of its maximum to infrared. As is evident from Fig. 2, similar spectral behavior can be observed for normal incidence (perpendicular to the substrate surface) as well as for illumination at 57°.

To understand the nonmonotonous spectral shift of the maximum of the collective SPR band with the increase of Ag mass-thickness *h*, let us first consider LSPR modes of individual metallic nanospheres. In the case of small NPs (with the size less than 10 nm) LSPR modes are dipole in character. An increase in their diameter results in red shift, which is related to the so-called "retardation effect", that is reducing the LSPR frequency due to phase mismatch in the oscillations of spatially separated free electrons within the particles of finite size. Another consequence of an increase in NP size is the emergence of higher-order (quadrupole and then octupole) modes of the LSPR, leading to the appearance of several peaks in the spectra. Each arising multipole mode is a non-radiative decay type, yielding absorptive properties of metallic NPs.

With the increase of NP size the contribution from the dipole absorption becomes less important, due to the competition from energy scattering processes, and after exceeding some specific NP size the mode relaxation becomes fully radiative.



**Fig. 2** Extinction spectra of Ag/AAO samples with different Ag film thickness: 1 a,b – 15 nm; 2 a,b – 30 nm; 3 a,b – 45 nm; 4 a,b – 60 nm; 5 a,b – 75 nm; 6 a,b – 90 nm; 7 a,b – 120 nm; 8a – 150 nm. Spectra 1a–8a were measured at normal incidence, and spectra 1b–7b at the angle 57°. The dash-dot-dot arrow indicates the position of excitation wavelength 441.6 nm.

Thus, size increase changes the decay type of the excited LSPR mode from non-radiative to radiative.<sup>56</sup> In the non-radiative case, all the energy taken out by the metallic NP from the incident light-wave is localized near the nanoparticle surface, forming the regions with significant near-field enhancement ("hot spots"). This regime is the most favorable for SERS amplification. In the case of radiative decay, the energy of excitation

taken out from the incident light is mainly scattered back to the environment.

For a close-packed layer of NPs, the above described mechanisms are significantly more complicated due to the coherent multiple light scattering between neighboring NPs. Such electrodynamical processes lead to collectivization of plasmon excitations: LSPR is transformed into the SPR band, accompanied by enlargement of the plasmon localization region and a change of SPR spectral manifestation, in particular its frequency shift.<sup>57–59</sup> Moreover, in the narrow gaps between close-packed NPs a manifold strengthening of the local field can occur.<sup>60,61</sup>

In Fig. 3 we show comparison of the calculated extinction spectra for two nanostructure types. The first type corresponds to a close-packed 2D array of silver NPs (Fig. 3, right panel), which models silver nanostructures on the surface of AAO under investigation. Here we consider arrays with different NP sizes, but identical metal volume fraction, f = 0.4. The second type corresponds to sparse layers of the same but non-interacting particles (as NPs in non-aggregated colloids), whose optical properties are completely defined by the optical functions of single spheres (Fig. 3, left panel). We compare each close-packed 2D array with sparse NPs of the same particle size to demonstrate the influence of electrodynamic coupling between nanoparticles on the SPR resonances. The calculated extinction spectra are plotted with solid black lines.

To estimate the position and contribution of different plasmon modes in these spectral profiles, we have fitted them with multi-Lorentzian functions. The results of this deconvolution analysis are also shown in Fig. 3. The individual Lorentzian components are depicted by red (for dipole mode) and blue (for higher-order modes) lines, while the sums of all Lorentzians are depicted by dashed green lines (being in very good agreement with calculated black lines).



Fig. 3 Calculated extinction spectra and tentative assignment of SPR modes for sparse colloids of Ag NPs (left panel) and close-packed monolayers (right panel) of Ag nanospheres of different diameters *d*. d – dipole mode; q – quadrupole mode; o – octupole mode.

#### Paper

It can be seen from Fig. 3 (left panel) that for single nanoparticles of small sizes (up to 40 nm) the extinction spectrum is determined by only dipole mode contribution. As the NP size increases, there appears the contribution of higher order modes (quadrupole, octupole, etc.) in the blue spectral region. The situation for close-packed arrays of NPs is much more complicated. Even for small-sized nanoparticles we can notice contribution of several Lorentzian components. In comparison with the case of single NPs, there appears a contribution from the very broad red-shifted band (shown with dashed red lines). We suggest that this band can be attributed to collective delocalized plasmon mode of the whole closepacked NP array. That is, the total SPR dipole mode of interacting particles consists of both localized mode of the single particle deposited in the effective field of the other nanoparticles and delocalized mode of the close-packed NP array.

Moreover, multiple scattering between NPs in a close-packed array leads to intensification of the higher-order SPR modes and to the red shift of all SPR modes. For particles with diameter 20-40 nm, the close-packed array exhibits (right panel) formation of the quadrupole mode ( $\sim 430$  nm), which is absent in the corresponding extinction spectra of the noninteracting NPs of the same size (left panel). For a close-packed array made of NPs with diameter 150 nm, there appears a strong extinction band formed by the quadrupole ( $\sim 460 \text{ nm}$ ) and octupole ( $\sim$  408 nm) SPR modes. Importantly, the intensity of the octupole mode greatly exceeds the intensity of the quadrupole mode - in contrast to the reverse situation for 150 nm non-interacting NPs. Presumably, this can be due to their excitation by evanescent waves from the neighbouring particles in the dense layer. Similar behaviour has already been simulated<sup>62</sup> for the system of individual metallic nanospheres excited by evanescent plane waves.

Comparing the obtained experimental (Fig. 2) and calculated (Fig. 3, right panel) extinction spectra of the close-packed arrays of Ag NPs, one can see similar trends in the spectral transformation caused by the increase of Ag mass-thickness. The following explanation can be suggested for this observation: an initial increase of h from 15 to 45 nm is accompanied by an increase of NP size, however with an approximately

constant volume fraction of the metal in the layer. In this case, the delocalized dipole mode exhibits a red shift, while in the blue region there appears a new band due to intensification of higher-order plasmon modes (in the first place a quadrupole mode). Consequently, the extinction band maximum,  $\lambda_{max}$ , exhibits a blue shift with the increase of *h* from 15 to 45 nm.

Further increase of the average size of NPs with the increase of *h* from 45 to 120 nm results in appearance and intensification of the octupole mode in the collective plasmon resonance (for *h* = 75 nm and above). In addition, a gradual red shift takes place not only for both localized and delocalized dipole modes, but also for the quadrupole one. As is evident from Fig. 3, the red shift of high-order modes can be observed for sufficiently large sparse NPs as well as for their close-packed arrays (compare the curves for *d* = 150 nm in the left and right panels of Fig. 3, correspondingly). For this reason, in contrast to the mass-thickness below 45 nm, the extinction maximum  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  exhibits a red shift for *h* increasing from 45 to 120 nm. Consequently, the dependence of  $\lambda_{\text{max}}(h)$  has a non-monotonous character.

It should be noted that the increase of the average size of NPs in the layer with the increase of Ag thickness can also be attributed to clustering of NPs. This process significantly increases the polydispersity of the system, leading to spectral broadening of all (but notably dipole) plasmon resonance modes. However, a further increase of the mass of deposited metal results in denser filling of layer's volume by Ag and in smoothening of its structural features. This is the reason why the measured extinction spectrum for h = 150 nm (Fig. 2) is degraded to such an extent that it loses resonant features for both individual nanoobjects and their agglomerates.

## 3.3 Dependence of SERS enhancement on silver film thickness

To examine dependence of the SERS intensity on the Ag massthickness, the SERS spectra of CuTMpyP4 adsorbed on a series of Ag/AAO substrates were measured using the excitation wavelength  $\lambda_{ex} = 441.6$  nm. Spectra were acquired at two excitation geometries, *i.e.* with a laser beam directed onto the front- and back side of the samples (Fig. 4). The SERS spectra of



Fig. 4 The excitation–detection geometries of SERS measurements: (a) front-side excitation; (b) back-side excitation. Incident angle  $\alpha = 57^{\circ}$ .



**Fig. 5** SERS spectra of CuTMpyP4 adsorbed from the  $10^{-6}$  M solution on the surface of Ag/AAO with a silver film thickness of 15 (1), 30 (2), 45 (3), 60 (4), 75 (5), 90 (6), 120 (7) and 150 nm (8). Prominent plasma lines of the He–Cd laser are marked with asterisks (\*).

CuTMpyP4 for different Ag mass-thicknesses measured at the front side incidence are shown in Fig. 5. To better illustrate the non-monotonous character of the SERS intensity variations with the increase of Ag thickness, the intensity of the CuTM-pyP4 1365 cm<sup>-1</sup> band was plotted as a function of silver mass thickness (Fig. 6). As can be seen, the strongest Raman signal is firstly observed for the Ag layer of 15 nm. A further increase of the silver thickness to 45–75 nm is accompanied by a local decrease of the SERS intensity followed by the second intensity maximum for h = 120 nm. However, the corresponding intensity was only about one-third of that for 15 nm.

Thus, two maxima of the SERS enhancement depending on the silver thickness were localized for the excitation 441.6 nm. The same tendency of SERS performance was observed for the second series of samples where analyte molecules were deposited on the silvered surface of AAO by dropping 10  $\mu$ l of 10<sup>-6</sup> M porphyrin solution (Fig. 7). Similar results for two series of Ag/AAO samples were obtained for other Raman bands of CuTM-pyP4, *e.g.* 1567 and 1638 cm<sup>-1</sup> (data not shown).

The non-monotonous dependence of SERS intensity on Ag mass-thickness can be explained taking into account relative positions of the SPR maxima with respect to the excitation





**Fig. 6** Intensity of the 1365 cm<sup>-1</sup> band in the SERS spectra of CuTMpyP4 adsorbed on the silvered surface of AAO as a function of silver film thickness. Data obtained at the front- and back-side geometry of excitation are depicted by triangles and circles, respectively. The symbols for samples of *h* = 45 nm and *h* = 60 nm have been horizontally offset for clarity.



**Fig. 7** Intensity of the 1365 cm<sup>-1</sup> band in the SERS spectra of CuTMpyP4 deposited on the silvered surface of AAO by dropping 10  $\mu$ l of 10<sup>-6</sup> M solution as a function of silver film thickness. Data obtained at the front-and back-side geometry of excitation are depicted by triangles and circles, respectively.

wavelength. It must be emphasized that SERS enhancement will be most effective when the excitation is in resonance with respect to the position of a plasmonic mode with non-radiative type of decay, which is damped *via* absorption processes when the energy consumed by NP is localized at the interface.

Dependence of the distance between the extinction maximum and the excitation wavelength (multiplied by a factor of -1) as a function of Ag mass-thickness is depicted in Fig. 8. Its nonmonotonous character with two maxima is close to that observed for SERS intensities (Fig. 6 and 7). It seems that dependence of the SERS activity on the Ag mass-thickness can be attributed mainly to the effectiveness of the overlap between the excitation wavelength and the extinction bands. Upon increase of the thickness of the Ag layer, the SPR peak is tuned to overlap with the excitation line providing less or more favourable conditions for SERS enhancement. The maximum SERS efficiency is observed for a minimal distance between the excitation wavelength and the extinction peak belonging to absorption. In the case of the sample with h = 15 nm, the excitation wavelength  $\lambda_{ex}$ falls in the close vicinity of the SPR band attributed to dipole





**Fig. 8** Difference between the position of extinction maximum and excitation wavelength as a function of silver film thickness. The peak maxima were determined for spectra measured at incident angle  $\alpha = 57^{\circ}$ .

mode. For samples of greater Ag thickness, the SERS intensity originates mainly from the resonances with pronounced plasmonic modes of higher orders (first quadrupole, then octupole), the relaxations of which have predominantly non-radiative character. It should be emphasized that coincidence of the excitation wavelength and the spectral position of an incipient higherorder plasmon mode with non-radiative damping cannot provide SERS enhancement because of insufficiently strong enhancement of the local electromagnetic field near the metallic nanoparticles. Only pronounced resonances can provide an appreciable effect.

As mentioned previously, several studies for free NPs have demonstrated the correlation between LSPR position and SERS intensity depending on the NP shape and excitation wavelength.<sup>22–27,31</sup> The influence of multipole modes of LSPR on SERS signal intensity was also investigated. It has been shown for the elongated nanoparticles that the high-order LSPR modes provide a contribution to the SERS signal.<sup>25,63,64</sup> In the case of metallic nanowires the enhancement obtained for multipole LSPR appeared larger than that achieved for dipolar modes.<sup>25</sup> However, for the arrays of coupled nanoparticles the effect of multipole modes on the SERS performance was insufficiently explored. Especially an issue concerning the role of SPR relaxation in SERS enhancement, *i.e.* whether it is intrinsic damping within the NPs or radiative damping, was not considered.

Our results indicate that for the nanostructures of tightly coupled Ag NPs such as Ag/AAO the SERS enhancement is strongly dependent on the matching of the laser line with non-radiative SPR modes. Moreover we demonstrate the importance of the higher order SPR modes, which are mainly of nonradiative character, in governing the SERS intensity at a given excitation wavelength. It should be emphasized that the observed SPR–SERS dependence is qualitative. Since the SPR bands are rather broad, it is difficult to assign the SPR peak to a particular high order mode. It is possible that the extinction maxima used to evaluate closeness to excitation wavelength depicted in Fig. 8 are in reality overlaps of two or more multiple SPR bands.

## 3.4 Increase of SERS signal at the back-side geometry of the excitation

SERS enhancement was investigated for two excitation geometries, the front-side and the back-side (Fig. 4a and b. respectively). To average possible inhomogeneities of Ag surface, several spectra were collected for each sample from different spots inside a restricted area ( $\sim 8 \text{ mm}^2$ ). The SERS intensities of the 1365 cm<sup>-1</sup> band of CuTMpyP4 deposited on the silver film surface via soaking of Ag/AAO samples in the analyte solution and by drop-coating are plotted in Fig. 6 and 7 as a function of Ag mass-thickness, respectively. However, with the back-side excitation we have succeeded in recording only the spectra for Ag mass-thickness less than 60 nm. In the case of drop coated samples we were not able to obtain spectra even for the 45 nm silver film because of extremely weak Raman signal. It seems that at the back-side geometry, the thick layer of Ag impedes the excitation radiation from reaching the analyte molecules. For both sample series and Ag mass-thickness h = 15-60 nm, the SERS intensities for the back-side excitation were consistently higher than obtained for front-side geometry. The ratio between the average peak intensities for Ag thickness increasing from 15 to 60 nm at two excitation geometries was 1.12, 1.55 and 1.42, 2.18, 1.57, 1.15 for droplet and soaked samples, respectively.

The higher SERS signal for the excitation through dielectric medium than for the excitation through air has been reported earlier in several papers.<sup>65–68</sup> However, the origin of this additional enhancement was not satisfactorily explained for a long time. In the work of Jennings et al.<sup>65</sup> the additional SERS enhancement for silver/indium island films on glass slides was suggested to be due to the 'first layer effect', when the analyte molecules closest to the enhancing field generate the highest signal. This suggestion was later disproved, because the extra enhancement was also observed for a monolaver of analyte molecules.<sup>66</sup> Recently extra SERS signal for silver films on glass at the back-side excitation geometry has been detected.<sup>67</sup> By analysis of Fresnel reflection and transmission terms, a simple physical explanation of this phenomenon was suggested based on the phase shift of light at the dielectric-air interface. Improved intensity of the SERS spectrum from gold and silver particles was observed when the light was transmitted through the quartz slide instead of direct illumination of molecules.68 The theoretical model was used to show the impact of the dielectric environment on the enhancement, i.e. the light transmitted through the quartz substrate seems to excite more effectively the localized surface plasmons than the light passing through air and the analytes.

The silver coated AAO substrates used in the present work considerably differ from those investigated previously<sup>67,68</sup> as concerns the morphology of Ag deposits as well as the geometry of the underlying AAO template and its refractive index. It should be noted that our observations neither confirm nor disclaim the explanation of the discussed phenomenon proposed in the above mentioned studies, and further work on different SERS-active materials is required to fully explore this effect. Nevertheless, the extra enhancement of the SERS intensity due to appropriate excitation geometry described here can be useful for the design of more sensitive SERS-based sensors.

## 4. Conclusions

A series of silvered AAO samples were prepared and investigated with the aim to clarify the effect of mass-thickness of the granular Ag layer on the SPR position as well as SERS efficiency. To characterize the SERS responses from Ag/AAO substrates, water-soluble cationic porphyrin CuTMPyP4 was used as a SERS-reporting molecule. Analyzing dependencies of the 1365, 1567, and 1638 cm<sup>-1</sup> band intensities as a function of Ag mass-thickness, two maxima of SERS enhancement for 441.6 nm excitation were detected for Ag layers of 15 nm and 120 nm. This dependency was ascribed to the effect of the proximity of the excitation wavelength to the maximum of the SPR band of the Ag/AAO substrate.

An important role of the decay type and order of the SPR modes in SERS enhancement efficiency was suggested based on QCA numerical simulations. The layer of close-packed Ag NPs of size greater than 50 nm exhibits not only dipole but also higher order SPR modes (qudrupole, octupole, *etc.*) contributing to rather complicated extinction spectra. The most effective SERS excitation wavelengths are those which coincide with the position of the pronounced SPR mode having essentially non-radiative decay. We also demonstrate that the spectral position of the collective SPR with non-radiative decay can be effectively tuned by variation of size and concentration of nanoparticles in the silver layer.

Consequently, to design the arrays of coupled NPs with maximal SERS enhancement one should take into account not only optimal structural parameters of the substrates but also the position of the non-radiative multiple plasmon modes with respect to the excitation wavelength. Moreover an additional increase of SERS intensity for the thin metal films can be achieved by use of back-side geometry of excitation. These findings pave the way to more sensitive analytical applications of SERS.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the State Scientific Program of Belarus "Electronics and Photonics 2.3.06" and the Czech Science Foundation (P208/10/0941).

## References

- 1 K. Kneipp, H. Kneipp, I. Itzkan, R. R. Dasari and M. S. Feld, *Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **99**, 2957–2975.
- 2 K. Kim and K. S. Shin, Anal. Sci., 2011, 27, 775-783.
- 3 S. Cîntă Pînzaru, I. Pavel, N. Leopold and W. Kiefer, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2004, **35**, 338–346.
- 4 J. M. Reyes-Goddard, H. Barr and N. Stone, *Photodiagn. Photodyn. Ther.*, 2005, **2**, 223–233.

- 5 J. Kneipp, H. Kneipp and K. Kneipp, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, 37, 1052–1060.
- 6 K. W. Kho, C. Y. Fu, U. S. Dinish and M. Olivo, J. Biophotonics, 2011, 4, 667–684.
- 7 Y. C. Tsai, P. C. Hsu, Y. W. Lin and T. M. Wu, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2009, **138**, 5–8.
- 8 P. R. Stoddart and D. J. White, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2009, **138**, 5–8.
- 9 S. Boyd, M. F. Bertino, D. Ye, L. S. White and S. J. Seashols, J. Forensic Sci., 2013, 58, 753–756.
- 10 Y. Yang, Z. Y. Li, K. Yamaguchi, M. Tanemura, Z. R. Huang, D. L. Jiang, Y. H. Chen, F. Zhou and M. Nogami, *Nanoscale*, 2012, 4, 2663–2669.
- 11 R. Halvorson and P. J. Vikesland, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, 44, 7749–7755.
- 12 R. Aroca, *Surface-Enhanced Vibrational Spectroscopy*, J. Wiley, Chichester, 2006.
- R. J. C. Brown and M. J. T. Milton, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2008, 39, 1313–1326.
- 14 M. Moskovits, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1985, 57, 783-826.
- 15 H. Xu, J. Aizpurua, M. Kal and P. Apell, *Phys. Rev. E: Stat. Phys., Plasmas, Fluids, Relat. Interdiscip. Top.*, 2000, **62**, 4318–4324.
- 16 G. Vidal and J. B. Pendry, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 1163.
- 17 J. R. Lombardi, R. L. Brike, T. Lu and J. Xu, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1986, **84**, 4174.
- 18 A. Otto, I. Mrozek, H. Grabhorn and W. Akemann, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 1992, 4, 1143–1212.
- 19 S. J. Lee, A. R. Morrill and M. J. Moscovits, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, **128**, 2200–2201.
- 20 A. J. Haes and R. P. Van Duyne, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 10596.
- 21 K. Kneipp, Y. Wang, H. Kneipp, L. T. Perelman, I. Itzkan, R. R. Dasari and M. S. Feld, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, **78**, 1667.
- 22 C. L. Haynes and R. P. Van Duyne, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2003, **107**, 7426–7433.
- 23 N. Félidj, J. Aubard, G. Levi, J. R. Krenn, A. Hohenau, G. Schider, A. Leitner and F. R. Aussenegg, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2003, 82, 3095–3097.
- 24 J. Grand, M. Lamy de la Chapelle, J. L. Bijeon, P. M. Adam,
  A. Vial and P. Royer, *Phys. Rev.*, 2005, **72**, 33407.
- 25 L. Billot, M. Lamy de la Chapelle, A.-S. Grimault, A. Vial, D. Barchiesi, J.-L. Bijeon, P.-M. Adam and P. Royer, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2006, **422**, 303–307.
- 26 N. Guillot and M. Lamy de la Chapelle, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 2012, 113, 2321–2333.
- 27 N. Guillot, H. Shen, B. Frémaux, O. Péron, E. Rinnert, T. Toury and M. Lamy de la Chapelle, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2010, 97, 023113.
- 28 M. K. Hossain, G. R. Willmott, P. G. Etchegoin, R. J. Blaikie and J. L. Tallon, *Nanoscale*, 2013, 5, 8945–8950.
- 29 A. Lamberti, A. Virga, A. Angelini, A. Ricci, E. Descrovi, M. Cocuzza and F. Giorgis, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 4404–4410.
- 30 M. Kang, J.-J. Kim, Y.-J. Oh, S.-G. Park and K.-H. Jeong, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 4510–4514.
- 31 A. D. McFarland, M. A. Young, J. A. Dieringer and R. P. Van Duyne, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 11279–11285.

- 33 Y.-J. Liu, Z.-Y. Zhang, Q. Zhao and Y.-P. Zhao, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2008, **93**, 173106.
- 34 T. Tan, C. Tian, Z. Ren, J. Yang, Y. Chen, L. Sun, Z. Li, A. Wu, J. Yin and H. Fu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, 15, 21034–21042.
- 35 L. Wang, Y. Sun and Z. Li, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2015, 325, 242–250.
- 36 B. L. Broglin, A. Andreu, N. Dhussa, J. A. Jr. Heath, J. Gerst, B. Dudley, D. Holland and M. El-Kouedi, *Langmuir*, 2007, 23, 4563–4568.
- 37 K. G. Biswas, H. El Matbouly, V. Rawat, J. L. Schroeder and T. D. Sands, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2009, **95**, 073108.
- 38 V. G. Stoleru and E. Towe, *Microelectron. Eng.*, 2005, **81**, 358–365.
- 39 Z. Lu, W. Ruan, J. Yang, W. Xu, C. Zhao and B. Zhao, J. Raman Spectrosc., 2009, 40, 112–116.
- 40 H. Ko and V. V. Tsukruk, Small, 2008, 4, 1980-1984.
- 41 N. Ji, W. Ruan, C. Wang, Z. Lu and B. Zhao, *Langmuir*, 2009, 25, 11869–11873.
- 42 J. Wang, L. Huang, L. Yuan, L. Zhao, X. Feng, W. Zhang, L. Zhai and J. Zhu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2012, 258, 3519–3523.
- 43 N. Ji, W. Ruan, Z. Li, C. Wang, Z. Yang and B. Zhao, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2013, **44**, 1–5.
- 44 K. Malek, A. Brzózka, A. Rygula and G. D. Sulka, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, 45, 281–291.
- 45 T. Kondo, H. Miyazaki, K. Nishio and H. Masuda, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2011, 221, 199–203.
- 46 J. Lin, H. Lan, W. Zheng, Y. Qu and F. Lai, NANO, 2012, 7, 1250048.
- 47 S. N. Terekhov, P. Mojzes, S. M. Kachan, N. I. Mukhurov, S. P. Zhvavyi, A. Yu. Panarin, I. A. Khodasevich, V. A. Orlovich, A. Thorel, F. Grillon and P.-Y. Turpin, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2011, **42**, 12–20.
- 48 R. F. Pasternack, E. J. Gibbs and J. J. Vilafranca, *Biochemistry*, 1983, 22, 2406–2414.
- 49 H. Masuda and M. Satoh, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., 1996, 35, L126–L129.

- 50 K. M. Hong, J. Opt. Soc. Am., 1980, 70, 821-826.
- 51 J. Ziman, *Models of Disorder*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.
- 52 U. Kreibig and C. V. Fragstein, Z. Phys., 1969, 224, 307-323.
- 53 S. M. Kachan and A. N. Ponyavina, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 2002, 14, 103–111.
- 54 Handbook of Optical Constants of Solids, ed. E. D. Palik, Academic Press, New York, 1991.
- 55 N. Pavenayotin, M. D. Jr. Stewart, J. M. Jr. Valles, A. Yin and J. M. Xu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2005, **87**, 193111.
- 56 K. Kolwas and A. Derkachova, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 2013, 114, 45-55.
- 57 L. Gunnarsson, T. Rindzevicius, J. Prikulis, B. Kasemo, M. Käll, S. Zou and G. C. Schatz, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, 109, 1079–1087.
- 58 W. Rechberger, A. Hohenau, A. Leitner, J. R. Krenn, B. Lamprecht and F. R. Aussenegg, *Opt. Commun.*, 2003, 220, 137–141.
- 59 A. Farhang and O. Martin, Opt. Express, 2011, 19, 11387-11396.
- 60 P. K. Jain and M. A. El-Sayed, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2010, 487, 153-164.
- 61 N. J. Halas, S. Lal, W.-S. Chang, S. Link and P. Nordlander, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 3913–3961.
- 62 M. Quinten, A. Pack and R. Wannemacher, *Appl. Phys. B: Lasers Opt.*, 1999, **68**, 87–92.
- 63 G. Laurent, N. Félidj, J. Aubard, G. Lévi, J. R. Krenn, A. Hohenau, G. Schider, A. Leitner and F. R. Aussenegg, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2005, **71**, 045430.
- 64 G. Laurent, N. Félidj, J. Aubard, G. Levi, J. R. Krenn, A. Hohenau, G. Schider, A. Leitner and F. R. Aussenegg, J. Chem. Phys., 2005, 122, 011102.
- 65 C. Jennings, R. Aroca, A. M. Hor and R. O. Loutfy, Anal. Chem., 1984, 56, 2033–2035.
- 66 R. Aroca, C. Jennings, G. K. Kovacs, R. O. Loutfy and P. S. Vincett, J. Phys. Chem., 1985, 89, 4051–4054.
- 67 S. Jayawardhana, L. Rosa, S. Juodkazis and P. R. Stoddart, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, 3, 2335.
- 68 S. Funke and H. Wackerbarth, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2013, 44, 1010–1013.